## Case 2:24-cv-01940-TLN-AC Document 13 Filed 07/08/25 Page 1 of 3

SUSANA ALCALA WOOD, City Attorney (SBN 156366) 1 KATE D.L. BROSSEAU, Deputy City Attorney (SBN 345596) 2 kbrosseau@cityofsacramento.org CITY OF SACRAMENTO 3 915 I Street, Room 4010 Sacramento, CA 95814-2608 Telephone: (916) 808-5346 4 Facsimile: (916) 808-7455 5 Attorneys for the CITY OF SACRAMENTO, SAMUEL KORBS, RILEY START, and 6 DUSTIN HENDERSON 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 Case No.: 2:24-cv-01940-TLN-AC KENNETH MALLOY, 12 JOINT STIPULATION TO MODIFY Plaintiff, SCHEDULING ORDER; PROPOSED 13 **ORDER** 14 VS. 15 CITY OF SACRAMENTO; SAMUEL KORBS, in his individual capacity; RILEY 16 START, in his individual capacity; DUSTIN HENDERSON, in his individual capacity, 17 Defendants. 18 19 20 Plaintiff Kenneth Malloy and Defendants City of Sacramento, Samuel Korbs, and Riley 21 Start, by and through their respective counsel, and subject to the approval of this Court, hereby 22 stipulate to a modification of the Initial Scheduling Order in this matter. (ECF No. 3). 23 Specifically, the parties respectfully request that the Initial Scheduling Order for this matter be 24 modified to reflect the following dates, or to similar dates as the Court's calendar allows: 25 **New Date** Current Date 26 Fact Discovery Cut-Off: August 7, 2025 September 30, 2025 27 Expert Disclosure: October 6, 2025 December 1, 2025 28 Rebuttal Expert Disclosure: November 5, 2025 January 2, 2026

## Case 2:24-cv-01940-TLN-AC Document 13 Filed 07/08/25 Page 2 of 3

- 1								
1	WHEREAS, the parties have had difficulty in coordinating schedules for discovery							
2	particularly as it pertains to the coordination of numerous named parties and percipien							
3	witnesses for deposition;							
4	WHEREAS, counsel for the parties have met and conferred and agree that it would be in							
5	the interest of justice and judicial economy and that good cause exists for the modification of							
6	the scheduling order;							
7	WHEREAS, this stipulation is not being made for the purpose of delay, or any other							
8	improper purpose;							
9	WHEREAS, continuing the deadlines will not prejudice any party or their counsel;							
10	WHEREAS, this is the parties' first request to modify the scheduling order; and							
11	WHEREAS, the parties have attempted to contact the Court's Clerk to ascertain the							
12	availability of the above-listed dates as they pertain to the Court's calendar:							
13	THEREFORE, the parties agree and stipulate to the above-requested modification to this							
14	Court's Initial Scheduling Order (ECF No. 3), or to similar dates as the Court's calendar							
15	allows.							
16	IT IS SO STIPULATED.							
17								
18	DATED: July 8, 2025 SUSANA ALCALA WOOD,							
19	City Attorney							
20	By: <u>/s/ KATE D.L. BROSSEAU</u>							
21	KATE D.L. BROSSEAU Deputy City Attorney							
22	Attorneys for Defendants							
23	, membys for Borondams							
24	DATED: July 8, 2025 MCKENZIE SCOTT							
25	Wiokervare 30011							
26	By: /s/ MARCUS BOURASSA							
27	MARCUS BOURASSA							
28	Attorneys for Plaintiff							

## Case 2:24-cv-01940-TLN-AC Document 13 Filed 07/08/25 Page 3 of 3

[PROPOSED] ORDER
------------------

	Having reviewed	and considered	the above	stipulation,	the scheduling	order i	s modified
to r	eflect the following	g deadlines:					

New Date

Fact Discovery Cut-Off: September 30, 2025

Expert Disclosure: December 1, 2025

Rebuttal Expert Disclosure: January 2, 2026

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

THE HONORABLE TROY L. NUNLEY United States District Court Judge